1	Ahilan T. Arulanantham (SBN 237841)	Yaakov M. Roth	
2	arulanantham@law.ucla.edu Stephany Martinez Tiffer (SBN 341254)	Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division	
	martineztiffer@law.ucla.edu	Drew Ensign	
3	CENTER FOR IMMIGRATION LAW AND POLICY, UCLA SCHOOL OF LAW	Deputy Assistant Attorney General Sarah L. Vuong (CA Bar 258528)	
4	385 Charles E. Young Dr. East	Assistant Director	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90095 Telephone: (310) 825-1029	William H. Weiland (MA Bar 661433) Senior Litigation Counsel	
6	Emilou MacLoon (SPN 210071)	Lauren Bryant (NY Bar 5321880) Anna Dichter (NJ Bar 304442019)	
O	Emilou MacLean (SBN 319071) emaclean@aclunc.org	Jeffrey Hartman (WA Bar 49810)	
7	Michelle (Minju) Y. Cho (SBN 321939)	Luz Maria Restrepo (NY Bar 4907077)	
8	mcho@aclunc.org Amanda Young (SBN 359753)	Catherine Ross (DC Bar 9007404) Amanda Saylor (FL Bar 1031480)	
	ayoung@aclunc.org	Eric Snyderman (VA Bar 99563)	
9	ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division	
10	39 Drumm Street	Office of Immigration Litigation	
11	San Francisco, CA 94111-4805 Telephone: (415) 621-2493	General Litigation and Appeals Section P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station	
	Facsimile: (415) 863-7832	Washington, DC 20044	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Defendants	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page]	Attorneys for Defendants	
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
18		I	
19	NATIONAL TPS ALLIANCE, MARIELA GONZÁLEZ, FREDDY JOSE ARAPE RIVAS,	Case No. 3:25-cv-01766-EMC	
	M.H., CECILIA DANIELA GONZÁLEZ	STIPULATED CLAWBACK	
20	HERRERA, ALBA CECILIA PURICA HERNÁNDEZ, E.R., HENDRINA	AGREEMENT AND FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 502(d) ORDER	
21	VIVAS CASTILLO, A.C.A., SHERIKA BLANC, VILES DORSAINVIL, and G.S.,		
22	Plaintiffs,		
23	VS.		
24	KRISTI NOEM, in her official capacity as		
25	Secretary of Homeland Security, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND		
26	SECURITY, and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
_			

1	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs		
2	Jessica Karp Bansal (SBN 277347)		
3	jessica@ndlon.org Lauren Michel Wilfong ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )		
4	lwilfong@ndlon.org NATIONAL DAY LABORER ORGANIZING NETWORK		
5	1030 S. Arroyo Parkway, Suite 106 Pasadena, CA 91105		
6	Telephone: (626) 214-5689		
7	Eva L. Bitran (SBN 302081) ebitran@aclusocal.org		
8	ACLU FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA		
9	1313 West 8th Street		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-5236		
11	Erik Crew (Pro Hac Vice)		
12	ecrew@haitianbridge.org HAITIAN BRIDGE ALLIANCE 4560 Alvarado Canyon Road, 1H San Diego, CA 92120		
13			
14	Telephone: (949) 603-7411		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

The Court hereby orders pursuant to Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 26(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court's inherent authority that the production of a document, or part of a document, shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or protection as to any portion of that document, or as to any undisclosed privileged or protected communications or information concerning the same subject matter, in this or in any other proceeding. This Order applies to attorney-client privilege, work-product protection as defined by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 26(b), governmental privileges, or any other applicable privilege. Nothing in this Order shall constitute an admission that any document disclosed in this litigation is subject to any of the foregoing privileges or protections, or that any party is entitled to raise or assert such privileges. Additionally, nothing in this Order shall prohibit parties from withholding from production any document covered by any applicable privilege or other protection.

The parties intend that this stipulated order shall displace the provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b)(1) and (2). That is, the disclosure of privileged or protected information, as described above, in this litigation shall not constitute a subject matter waiver of the privilege or protection in this or any other federal or state proceeding, regardless of the standard of care or specific steps taken to prevent disclosure. However, nothing in this Order shall limit a party's right to conduct a pre-production review of documents as it deems appropriate.

## I. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. "Document," as used herein, includes all items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)(A) and (B).
- 2. "Documents Produced," as used herein, includes all documents made available for review or produced in any manner during this litigation.

## II. PROCEDURES

The procedures applicable to a claim of privilege on a produced document and the resolution thereof shall be as follows:

1. If a party discovers a document, or part thereof, produced by another party that is privileged or otherwise protected, the receiving party shall promptly notify the producing party and must

then return the document or destroy it and certify that it has been destroyed to the producing party. The receiving party must also promptly identify, sequester, and destroy any notes taken about the document. Nothing in this Order is intended to shift the burden to identify privileged and protected documents from the producing party to the receiving party.

- 2. If the producing party determines that a document produced, or part thereof, is subject to a privilege or privileges, the producing party shall give the receiving party notice of the claim of privilege ("privilege notice").
- 3. The privilege notice must contain information sufficient to identify the document including, if applicable, a Bates number as well as identification of the privilege asserted and its basis.
- 4. Upon receiving the privilege notice, if the receiving party agrees with the privilege assertion made, the receiving party must promptly return the specified document(s) and any copies or destroy the document(s) and copies and certify to the producing party that the document(s) and copies have been destroyed. The receiving party must sequester and destroy any notes taken about the document. If a receiving party disclosed the document or information specified in the notice before receiving the notice, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it, and so notify the producing party of the disclosure and its efforts to retrieve the document or information.
- 5. Upon receiving the privilege notice, if the receiving party wishes to dispute a producing party's privilege notice, the receiving party shall promptly meet and confer with the producing party. The document(s) shall be sequestered immediately upon receiving the privilege notice and not be used by the receiving party in the litigation (e.g. filed as an exhibit to a pleading; used in deposition) while the dispute is pending. If the parties are unable to come to an agreement about the privilege assertions made in the privilege notice, the receiving party may make a sealed motion for a judicial determination of the privilege claim.
- 6. Pending resolution of the judicial determination, the parties shall both preserve and refrain from using the challenged information for any purpose and shall not disclose it to any person other than those required by law to be served with a copy of the sealed motion. The receiving party's motion challenging the assertion must not publicly disclose the information claimed to be privileged. Any

further briefing by any party shall also not publicly disclose the information claimed to be privileged if the privilege claim remains unresolved or is resolved in the producing party's favor.

- 7. If a document must be returned or destroyed as determined by the process above, that document, along with copies and notes about the document, that exist on back-up tapes, systems, or similar storage need not be immediately deleted or destroyed, and, instead, such materials shall be overwritten and destroyed in the normal course of business. Until they are overwritten in the normal course of business, the receiving party will take reasonable steps to limit access, if any, to the persons necessary to conduct routine IT and cybersecurity functions.
- 8. This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

Dated: April 29, 2025	/s/ EmiLou MacLean		
	_	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
Dated: April 29, 2025	/s/ William H. Weiland		
-		Counsel for Defendants	

1	IT IS ORDERED that the forgoing Agreement is approved.		
2			
3	Dated: April 30, 2025		
4	UNITELIST AS DISTRICT JUDGE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	0		

1			
2	Date: April 29, 2025	Respectfully submitted,	
3	CENTER FOR IMMIGRATION LAW AND	YAAKOV M. ROTH	
4	POLICY, UCLA SCHOOL OF LAW	Acting Assistant Attorney General	
5		Civil Division	
6	/s/ EmiLou MacLean	SARAH L. VUONG	
	Ahilan T. Arulanantham Stephany Martinez Tiffer	Assistant Director	
7		ANNA L. DICHTER	
8	Emilou MacLean Michelle (Minju) Y. Cho	JEFFREY HARTMAN LAUREN BRYANT	
9	Amanda Young	CATHERINE ROSS	
1.0	ACLU FOUNDATION	LUZ MARIA RESTREPO	
10	OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	ERIC MICHAEL SNYDERMAN	
11	E I D'An-	Trial Attorneys	
12	Eva L. Bitran ACLU FOUNDATION	/s/ William H. Weiland	
12	OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	WILLIAM H. WEILAND	
13		Senior Litigation Counsel	
14	Jessica Karp Bansal	Office of Immigration Litigation	
	Lauren Michel Wilfong ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) NATIONAL DAY LABORER ORGANIZING	Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice	
15	NETWORK	P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station	
16	1.21012	Washington, D.C. 20044	
1.7	Erik Crew (Pro Hac Vice)	Phone: (202) 305-0770	
17	HAITIAN BRIDGE ALLIANCE	Email: william.h.weiland@usdoj.gov	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for the Defendants	
19			
20			
21		<u>CATTESTATION</u>	
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the other Signatories have		
23	concurred in the filing of this document.		
		ACLU FOUNDATION	
24		OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	
25			
26		<u>/s/ Emilou MacLean</u> Emilou MacLean	
27		Limiou iviachean	
28		1	
	STIDLILATED CLAWDACK ACDEEMENT AND FEDERAL P		